MIOSPORES FROM THE TYPE LOCALITY OF THE MIDDLE FAMENNIAN ESNEUX FORMATION IN THE OURTHE VALLEY, EASTERN BELGIUM
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ABSTRACT. At the type locality of the Esneux Formation, a 8 m thick claystone interval is present in the upper part of the formation a few metres below nodular limestones of the Souverain-Pré Formation containing an early marginifera conodont fauna. Samples from the claystone contain an assemblage of miospores which can be referred to the early part of the (GF) gracilis-famenensis Zone which in the area underlines the late Famennian (VCo) versabilis-cornuta Zone. The early character of the GF Zone is shown by the occurrence in almost all the samples, of typical specimens of Grandispora famenensis (var. famenensis) and specimens with reduced ornamentation, identified as G. famenensis var. minuta in Byelorussia. This latter taxon is unknown in younger lithological formations in Belgium. The first occurrence of this last variety is found in the Cornispora varicornata assemblage-acme Zone of Byelorussia (base at the Eletz/Petrikov limit) the lower part of which is there correlated with the conodont rhoomboidea Zone. The first occurrence of G. famenensis appears thus as a good marker for long distance correlation.
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RESUME. Miospores de la localité type de la Formation d’Esneux, Famennien moyen de la vallée de l’Ourthe, dans l’Est de la Belgique. Dans la localité-type de la Formation d’Esneux, une couche de schiste, épaisse de 8 m, est présente dans la partie supérieure de la formation, quelques mètres en-dessous d’un calcaire nodulaire contenant une faune à conodontes marginifera ancien. Des échantillons de ce schiste contiennent un assemblage de miospores qui peut être attribué à la partie précoce de la Zone (GF) gracilis-famenensis qui, dans la région, précède la Zone (VCo) versabilis-cornuta d’âge Famennien tardif. Le caractère précoce de la Zone GF est démontré par la présence, dans la plupart des échantillons, à côté des spécimens typiques de Grandispora famenensis (var. famenensis), de spécimens portant une ornamentation réduite (identifiés comme G. famenensis var. minuta en Biélorussie), inconnus dans les unités lithologiques plus jeunes en Belgique. L’apparition de cette dernière variété en Biélorussie se situe dans la zone d’assemblage-acme Cornispora varicornata dont la base est à la limite entre les Horizons d’Eletz et de Petrikov et dont la partie inférieure est corrélée avec la Zone à conodontes rhoomboidea. La première apparition de G. famenensis semble donc être un bon marqueur pour des corrélations à longue distance.
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1. INTRODUCTION

The Ourthe valley is the type area where, in 1882, Mourlon subdivided the Famennian Stage. During the last 25 years, the middle and late Famennian of this area has been intensively studied by Thorez and collaborators.

The Esneux Formation is the lowest formation of the mostly silty and sandy lithostratigraphic group named «Psammites du Condroz» (middle and late Famennian) and overlies the shaly «Schistes de la Famenne» (early Famennian). The Esneux Formation is succeeded by the nodular limestones of the Souverain-Pré Formation. At the type locality of Esneux village (fig. 1), a 8 m thick claystone unit is present in the upper part of the Esneux Formation (fig. 2), a few metres below the nodular limestones of the Souverain-Pré Formation. These limestones contain the early *marginifera* conodont Zone (Bouckaert et al., 1971, Bless et al., 1974 and Dreesen et al., 1986). This section was investigated many years ago in an attempt to characterize the assemblage of miofossils of the Esneux Formation (Bouckaert et al. 1968, 1971, Becker et al. 1974 and Bless et al. 1974). The sampling then focused only on the siltstone and sandstone part of the sequence and resulted in the definition of the (GH) *gracilis-hirtus* Zone (Bouckaert et al. 1971 and Becker et al., 1974).

During a recent visit by two of us (V.A. & M.S.) to the type locality at Esneux Village in 1991 sampling was undertaken in the claystone part of the sequence between the overlying limestones and the previously investigated siltstone-sandstone sequence in order to refine the position of the limit between the (GH) *gracilis-hirtus* Zone and the succeeding (GF) *gracilis-famenensis* Zone. The latter zone, recently renamed by Streel et al. 1987, was known from the Combain-la-Tour Formation (Bless et al., 1974), 32 m above the nodular limestone of the Souverain-Pré Formation in the same valley. Another aim of the study is to compare the miofossil assemblage with possibly similar assemblages in Byelorussia.

2. DESCRIPTION OF THE MIOFOSSIL ASSEMBLAGE

Six samples (9 to 14) were collected and processed from the 8 m thick claystone interval. Two samples (2 and 4) were remacerated from the previous collection in the siltstone-sandstone interval. All the samples yielded very brown, sometimes almost black, miofossils. They also contain acritarchs which are generally better preserved than the miofossils. The most important miofossil taxa for stratigraphy are listed and their distribution within the samples is shown (fig. 2). All these taxa, but two, are present in almost all samples, including the typical *Samarisporites* sp. cf. *Acanthotriletes hirtus* in Becker et al. 1974 (characteristic of the GH Zone).

Of particular interest are two taxa known only from the upper half of the section. There are two varieties of *Grandispora famenensis*. (1) a distinctive species bearing mammillate ornaments, *G. famenensis* var. *famenensis* which first appears in sample 13, just above the base of the claystone bed and (2) *G. famenensis* var. *minuta*, a variety with reduced ornamentation which appears at sample 14, 11 m below sample 13. Of course, the sampling below sample 14, at the base of the claystone bed, is too scarce to allow an accurate limit for the inception of *G. famenensis* var. *minuta* to be established. The rare miofossils recorded in the siltstone 4 indicate that this lithology is not suitable for miofossil ossification and preservation. Indeed the occurrence of *G. famenensis* var. *minuta* was not noted in the previous investigation of that sample. However sample 2, taken in a claystone bed almost 14 m below sample 4, is rich in miofossils and lacks any varieties of *G. famenensis*. Therefore, we have to conclude that the first appearance of *G. famenensis* is to be found in the interval between samples 4 and 2. This first occurrence and the absence of the index species of the succeeding (VCo) *versabilis-cornuta* Zone suggest that the base of the (GF) *gracilis-famenensis* Zone has to be lowered to at least the level of sample 4 in the upper part of the Esneux Formation.
Figure 2. Section and miospore distribution in the Esneux and basal Souverain-Pré Formations at the locality of Esneux.
Photographs x 500, except where otherwise stated.
The miospore locations in the slides are based on England finder graticule coordinates.
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3. AGE OF THE MIOCONE ASSEMBLAGE AND LATERAL CORRELATION

In the Pripyat Depression, in Byelorussia, the succession of first appearances of G. famenensis var. minuta and G. famenensis var. famenensis has also been demonstrated (Avkhimovitch et al. 1988). The former taxon occurs in the lowest subdivision (famenensis-minutus Subzone), the latter in the highest subdivision (lupinovitchi Subzone), of the Cornispora varicornata Assemblage-acme Zone. However, the duration of time separating these two subzones in Byelorussia is unfortunately yet unknown. In terms of correlation with the conodont biozones, the first occurrence of G. famenensis var. minuta is correlated with the upper part of the rhomboidea conodont Zone. However, by definition, Palmatolepis rhomboidea is also present in the lowermost part of the Palmatolepis marginifera Zone, therefore, this correlation might be questioned because the conodont faunas in Byelorussia have not yet been revised and their correlation with the miocones assemblage explained. In a section of the Hoyoux valley studied recently (Bode, pers. comm., 1991) at Modave-Pont de Bonne, 25 km to the west of the Ourthe valley, the conodont early marginifera Zone is present in the upper part of the Esneux Formation. Therefore it might be that the upper part of the Esneux Formation in the type locality has the same age as the upper part of the Esneux Formation at Modave-Pont de Bonne. Alternatively, it might be that the upper limit of this formation is diachronous and that the upper part at Modave-Pont de Bonne has the same age as the lower part of the Souverain-Pré Formation at Esneux. Diachronity of formations is well known in this area from the work of Thorez et al. (1977). It is therefore concluded that the base of the (GF) gracilis-famenensis Zone should probably be correlated with the late rhomboidea Zone or with the early marginifera Zone, rather than with the late marginifera Zone as formerly proposed by Bouckaert et al., 1971 and Becker et al. 1974. In conclusion it appears that the first occurrence of G. famenensis seems to be a good marker even for long distance correlation in Europe.

4. ACKNOWLEDGMENT

We are grateful to Dr. K. Higgs (Cork) for reviewing this paper and to M. Giraldo for technical assistance.