

## On a Deformation of the Dirac Hamiltonian

J. NDIMUBANDI

Keywords: Dirac Hamiltonian, quantum deformations

### Abstract

We propose a specific quantum deformation of the well known Dirac Hamiltonian leading to the (expected) undeformed relativistic context when the deformation parameter  $\kappa$  tends to infinity. The non-relativistic limit is also considered.

## 1 Introduction

The Dirac equation is well known as being a relativistic equation describing non-zero rest mass and spin  $\frac{1}{2}$  particles. It has been extensively studied in the free case but also when interactions are involved such as the Coulomb problem or the oscillator case [1].

The Dirac equation has also been related to non-relativistic supersymmetric quantum mechanics [2].

Recently, the Dirac equation has been revisited in connection with the quantum deformation theories [3]-[5]. In ref. [4] a covariant equation invariant with respect to the quantum Poincaré algebra, the so-called  $\kappa$ -Dirac equation, has been put in evidence. However, the proposed equation doesn't lead to an explicit Hamiltonian formulation. In the present paper, we develop a new formulation based on a  $\kappa$ -Dirac Hamiltonian. We search for its non-relativistic limit and we show that the usual Schrödinger Hamiltonian can be recovered when the deformation parameter tends to infinity.

## 2 Deformation of the Dirac Hamiltonian

Before entering into details, let us recall that the Dirac Hamiltonian writes

$$H_D = c \vec{\alpha} \vec{P} + m_0 c^2 \beta, \quad (1)$$

where as usual,  $m_0$  is the non zero rest mass of the particle. As a consequence, from the usual four-momentum relation, we know that

$$P_0 = \sqrt{m_0^2 c^4 + c^2 \vec{P}^2} \quad (2)$$

which can be developed as follows

$$P_0 = \lambda + \mu \vec{P}^2 + \gamma (\vec{P}^2)^2 + \dots \quad (3)$$

Here the coefficients  $\lambda$ ,  $\mu$  and  $\gamma$  are given by

$$\lambda = m_0 c^2, \quad \mu = \frac{1}{2m_0}, \quad \gamma = -\frac{1}{8m_0^3 c^2} \quad (4)$$

As usual, let us define the rest mass of the particle as  $\frac{E_0}{c^2}$  and start with the first Casimir operator of the  $q$ -Poincaré algebra [4]

$$C_1 = c^2 \vec{P}^2 + 2\kappa^2 (1 - ch \frac{P_0}{\kappa}), \quad \frac{1}{\kappa} = Rlnq. \quad (5)$$

We then propose to consider the equation

$$c^2 \vec{P}^2 + 2\kappa^2 (1 - ch \frac{P_0}{\kappa}) = 2\kappa^2 (1 - ch \frac{m_0 c^2}{\kappa}), \quad (6)$$

which evidently implies (2) when  $\kappa \rightarrow \infty$ , i.e. in the undeformed context.

Equation (6) can be written on the form

$$ch \frac{P_0}{\kappa} = \frac{c^2}{2\kappa^2} \vec{P}^2 + ch \frac{m_0 c^2}{\kappa}, \quad (7)$$

that is

$$1 + \frac{P_0^2}{2\kappa^2} + \frac{P_0^4}{4!\kappa^4} + \dots = \frac{c^2}{2\kappa^2} \vec{P}^2 + ch \frac{m_0 c^2}{\kappa} \quad (8)$$

Now let us start with this equation and develop the operator  $P_0$  according to eq. (3). After some rearrangements, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & (1 + \frac{\lambda^2}{2!\kappa^2} + \frac{\lambda^4}{4!\kappa^4} + \frac{\lambda^6}{6!\kappa^6} + \dots) + (\frac{\lambda\mu}{\kappa^2} + \frac{\lambda^3\mu}{3!\kappa^4} + \frac{\lambda^5\mu}{5!\kappa^6} + \dots) \vec{P}^2 \\ & + (\frac{\mu^2 + 2\lambda\gamma}{2!\kappa^2} + \frac{6\lambda^2\mu^2 + 4\lambda^3\gamma}{4!\kappa^4} + \frac{15\lambda^4\mu^2 + 6\lambda^5\gamma}{6!\kappa^6} + \dots) (\vec{P}^2)^2 + \dots \\ & = \frac{c^2}{2\kappa^2} \vec{P}^2 + ch \frac{m_0 c^2}{\kappa} \end{aligned} \quad (9)$$

This last equation can be put in the following compact form

$$ch \frac{\lambda}{\kappa} + \frac{\mu}{\kappa} \vec{P}^2 sh \frac{\lambda}{\kappa} + (\vec{P}^2)^2 (\frac{\mu^2}{2\kappa^2} ch \frac{\lambda}{\kappa} + \frac{\gamma}{\kappa} sh \frac{\lambda}{\kappa}) = \frac{c^2}{2\kappa^2} \vec{P}^2 + ch \frac{m_0 c^2}{\kappa} \quad (10)$$

when we omit all the terms in  $(\vec{P}^2)^n (n \geq 3)$ . Inside this proposal, the coefficients  $\lambda, \mu, \gamma$  are then easily determined and are

$$\lambda = m_0 c^2, \quad \mu = \frac{c^2}{2\kappa s h \frac{m_0 c^2}{\kappa}}, \quad \gamma = -\frac{\mu^2}{2\kappa} c t h \frac{\lambda}{\kappa} = -\frac{c^4 c h \frac{m_0 c^2}{\kappa}}{8\kappa^3 s h^3 \frac{m_0 c^2}{\kappa}} \quad (11)$$

We finally obtain a new "mass-energy" relation

$$P_0 = m_0 c^2 + \frac{c^2}{2\kappa s h \frac{m_0 c^2}{\kappa}} \vec{P}^2 - \frac{c^4 c h \frac{m_0 c^2}{\kappa}}{8\kappa^3 s h^3 \frac{m_0 c^2}{\kappa}} (\vec{P}^2)^2 + O(\vec{P}^2)^3, \quad (12)$$

extending (2) to the context of the quantum deformation considered in Ref. [4].

With this new result, we are ready to search for a  $\kappa$ -Dirac Hamiltonian  $H_D^\kappa$  such that

$$(H_D^\kappa)^2 = P_0^2 \quad (13)$$

where  $P_0$  is given by the expression (12).

We propose the form

$$H_D^\kappa = f \vec{\alpha} \cdot \vec{P} + \beta g + \beta h (\vec{P}^2)^2 \quad (14)$$

Here  $f, g$  and  $h$  are some functions depending on the deformation parameter  $\kappa$  and are such that

$$f \rightarrow c, \quad g \rightarrow m_0 c^2, \quad h \rightarrow 0 \quad (15)$$

when  $\kappa \rightarrow \infty$ .

Using equations (11)-(14), we easily obtain

$$\begin{aligned} f &= c \sqrt{\frac{m_0 c^2}{\kappa s h \frac{m_0 c^2}{\kappa}}}, \\ g &= m_0 c^2, \\ h &= \frac{c^2}{8m_0 \kappa^2 s h^2 \frac{m_0 c^2}{\kappa}} \left(1 - \frac{m_0 c^2}{\kappa} c t h \frac{m_0 c^2}{\kappa}\right) \end{aligned} \quad (16)$$

It is straightforward to verify that (16) obey the constraint (13). The resulting  $\kappa$ -Dirac Hamiltonian writes

$$H_D^\kappa = c c \sqrt{\frac{m_0 c^2}{\kappa s h \frac{m_0 c^2}{\kappa}}} \vec{\alpha} \cdot \vec{P} + \beta \left( m_0 c^2 + \frac{c^2}{8m_0 \kappa^2 s h^2 \frac{m_0 c^2}{\kappa}} (\vec{P}^2)^2 \left(1 - \frac{m_0 c^2}{\kappa} c t h \frac{m_0 c^2}{\kappa}\right) \right) \quad (17)$$

One immediately observes that  $H_D^\kappa \rightarrow H_D$  when  $\kappa \rightarrow \infty$  and  $H_D^\kappa$  is invariant with respect to the parity operator.

### 3 Determination of the non relativistic limit

We start with the Hamiltonian (17) in the standard realization of the Dirac matrices

$$\vec{\alpha} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \vec{\sigma} \\ \vec{\sigma} & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \beta = \begin{pmatrix} \sigma_0 & 0 \\ 0 & -\sigma_0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (18)$$

where  $\sigma_j$  are the usual Pauli matrices and  $\sigma_0$  is the 2 by 2 unit matrix. The time independent wave equation writes

$$H_D^\kappa \psi = E\psi, \quad \psi = \begin{pmatrix} \psi_1 \\ \psi_2 \end{pmatrix} \quad (19)$$

that is

$$(f\vec{\sigma}\cdot\vec{p})\psi_2 = (E - m_0c^2 - (\vec{p}^2)^2h)\psi_1, \quad (20)$$

$$(f\vec{\sigma}\cdot\vec{p})\psi_1 = (E + m_0c^2 + (\vec{p}^2)^2h)\psi_2, \quad (21)$$

where the coefficients  $f$  and  $h$  are given by formulas (16).

The system (20)-(21) is easily separated. Indeed, we obtain

$$f^2\vec{p}^2\psi_1 = (E^2 - m_0^2c^4 - 2m_0c^2(\vec{p}^2)^2h)\psi_1 \quad (22)$$

where, once more, we neglect terms of more than second order in  $\vec{p}^2$ .

The eigenvalue equation is then

$$E^2 = m_0^2c^4 + \frac{m_0c^4}{\kappa sh \frac{m_0c^2}{\kappa}}\vec{p}^2 + \frac{c^4}{4\kappa^2 sh^2 \frac{m_0c^2}{\kappa}} \left(1 - \frac{m_0c^2}{\kappa} cth \frac{m_0c^2}{\kappa}\right) (\vec{p}^2)^2 \quad (23)$$

and the usual expression of the non relativistic energies  $\epsilon$  takes here the form

$$\epsilon = \frac{E^2 - m_0^2c^4}{2m_0c^2} = \frac{c^2}{2\kappa sh \frac{m_0c^2}{\kappa}}\vec{p}^2 + \frac{c^2}{8m_0\kappa^2 sh^2 \frac{m_0c^2}{\kappa}} \left(1 - \frac{m_0c^2}{\kappa} cth \frac{m_0c^2}{\kappa}\right) (\vec{p}^2)^2 \quad (24)$$

The usual Schrödinger Hamiltonian  $\frac{\vec{p}^2}{2m}$  is obtained when  $\kappa \rightarrow \infty$ .

## 4 Conclusions

The main result of this paper is Eq. (14) with the coefficients  $f$ ,  $g$ ,  $h$  determined by (16). We obtained a Hamiltonian for one  $q$ -deformed Dirac equation by performing an expansion of the relevant operator  $P_0$  in powers of the operator  $(\vec{P}^2)^2$ . Throughout the calculations, terms of order  $(\vec{P}^2)^3$  and higher powers have been neglected.

## Acknowledgments

I want to express my sincere thanks to Prof. J. Beckers and to Dr. N. Debergh for valuable comments and fruitful discussions.

Thanks are also due to Prof. J. Cugnon from the University of Liège for his kind hospitality during my stay in Belgium where part of this work has been achieved.

This work has been in part supported by the "Agence Universitaire de la Francophonie".

## References

- [1] Moshinsky M. and Szczepaniak A., J. Phys. **A22** (1989) L817.
- [2] Beckers J. and Debergh N., Phys. Rev. **D42** (1990) 1255. Ndimubandi J. and Ntibashirakandi L., Bull. Soc. Roy. Sc. Liege , 60ème année, **6** (1991) 429.
- [3] Drinfeld V.G. , Quantum Groups, Proc. Int. Congress of Mathematicians (Berkeley, CA, 1986), edited by A.M. Gleason (AMS Providence, RI) p793.
- [4] Lukierski J., Nowicki A., Ruegg H. and Tolstoy N., Phys. Lett. **B264** (1991) 331. Lukierski J., Nowicki A. and Ruegg H., Phys. Lett. **B271** (1991) 321. Nowicki A., Sorace E. and Tarlini M., Phys. Lett. **B302** (1993) 419.
- [5] Biedenharn L.C., Mueller B. and Tarlini M., Phys. Lett. **B318** (1993) 613.

J. NDIMUBANDI  
University of Burundi  
Faculty of Sciences  
Department of Mathematics  
P.O. BOX 2700, Bujumbura,  
Burundi  
Email: jndimubandi@yahoo.fr