The XMM-Newton view of the X-ray spectrum of WR140 across periastron passage

Michaël De Becker^{1,2}, Julian M. Pittard³, Peredur M. Williams⁴ for the WR140 consortium

¹ Department of Astrophysics, Geophysics and Oceanography, University of Liège, Belgium

² Observatoire de Haute-Provence, Saint-Michel l'Observatoire, France

³ Department of Physics and Astronomy, University of Leeds, UK

⁴ Institute for Astronomy, Royal Observatory, Edinburgh, UK

Abstract: An XMM-Newton campaign dedicated to the study of the X-ray emission of the colliding wind massive binary WR140 across its 2009 periastron passage has been undertaken. The high quality EPIC spectra revealed a strong phase-locked variability both in flux and in spectral shape. The observed variations are consistently explained by the varying emission measure of the emitting plasma along the eccentric orbit, and by the changing absorption column density along the line of sight. Our results are first interpreted in the context of simple multi-temperature thermal emission models, and prospects for more sophisticated modelling are discussed.

1 Scientific context

WR 140 (HD 193793, HIP 100287) is undoubtedly one of the most studied WR+O massive binaries. It is a long period system (7.9 yr) consisting of a WC7 + O5 pair (Williams et al. 2009). This system turns out to be an especially relevant astrophysical laboratory for the study of colliding stellar winds. First, radio observations of this system revealed a non-thermal nature of its spectrum pointing to its capability to accelerate particles up to relativistic energies (e.g. Williams, van der Hucht & Spoelstra 1994, White & Becker 1995, Dougherty et al. 2005). Second, the wind-wind interaction region of WR 140 is known to be a nucleation site for dust particles (Monnier, Tuthill & Danchi 2002). Finally, previous soft X-ray observations (ASCA: Koyama et al. 1994, Zhekov & Skinner 2000; Chandra: Pollock et al. 2005) revealed a strong thermal emission spectrum dominated by the hot plasma expected to be present in such a colliding wind massive binary. Until recently, visibility constraints prevented any observations of WR 140 with the highly sensitive XMM-Newton satellite. This paper presents some first results obtained in the framework of the XMM-Newton campaign devoted to this target, especially across its periastron passage that motivated a multi-wavelength/multi-observatory effort among the massive star community (see Williams 2011 for a review).

Table 1: Journal of observations. The columns include respectively the number of the observation in our series, the observation identifier, the Julian date at mid exposure, and the orbital phase as computed from the ephemeris of Marchenko et al. (2003).

ID	Obs. ID	JD	ϕ
obs.1 obs.2 obs.3 obs.4 obs.5 obs.6	0555470701 0555470801 0555470901 0555471001 0555471101 0555471201	2 454 589.443 2 454 751.110 2 454 806.553 2 454 826.651 2 454 928.462 2 454 934.275	0.912 0.968 0.987 0.994 0.029 0.031

2 XMM-Newton observations

XMM-Newton observed WR 140 six times in AO7, across its 2009 periastron passage, with exposure times of 20 ks (proposal ID055547). Unfortunately, pointing constraints of the satellite did not allow us to observe the target at periastron. The aim-point was set to the position of the target in order to obtain simultaneously high resolution RGS spectra. For EPIC instruments, we used the thick filter to reject optical light. Data were processed with SASv8.0. We extracted the events from the sources and the background separately, using spatial filters devoid of any detectable point source. For details on the data set and on the reduction procedure, we refer to De Becker et al. (2011). EPIC-MOS1 spectra are shown in Fig. 1.

3 General X-ray properties and broadband spectral variability

We fitted various composite models prepared with the XSPEC software $(v.12.5.1)^1$ to spectra from every observation. The models were made using different components for the X-ray emission and for the absorption in order to represent the observed spectra between 0.3 and 10.0 keV. We achieved a good description of the X-ray spectrum of WR 140 using a 3-T thermal emission model with varying abundances, along with photoelectric absorption components representing the interstellar and wind absorption. Best-fit parameters were obtained for a model of the following form:

tbabs*(vphabs*vapec+vphabs*vapec+vphabs*vapec) where tbabs is a model for the interstellar extinction taking into account updated abundances, along with the impact of hydrogen molecules and dust particles (Wilms, Allen & McCray 2000). We fixed the interstellar hydrogen column density to a value of 0.59×10^{22} cm⁻² (see Pollock et al. 2005), but we left the local absorbing columns as free parameters. The plasma temperatures have values of the order of 5, 15 and 50 MK. We note that even though some spectra of the series could at first sight be fitted by a 2-T model, we refrained to do so mainly for one reason: we adopted the same model for the complete time series in order to discuss consistently the variability of the X-ray spectrum, and this could not be done with a heterogenous modelling. Our results point to a significant overabundance in C, in agreement with the WC type of the primary (see De Becker et al. 2011 for a more detailed discussion). This approach provides a rather good representation of the X-ray emission and of its phase-locked variability (see De Becker et al. 2011), but a more appropriate modelling of the X-ray

¹http://heasarc.gsfc.nasa.gov/docs/xanadu/xspec/.



Figure 1: Variability of the EPIC-MOS X-ray spectrum (between 0.3 and 10.0 keV) of WR 140 as a function of the orbital phase. The spectra are identified with the projected positions of the O5 star (open symbols) at the phases of the observations in its orbit around the WR star (filled symbol) projected on the sky.

emission is in preparation.

On the other hand, RGS data reveal several spectral lines, including the dominating Ne X Ly α line, along with the rather strong He-like triplet of Ne IX (see Fig. 2). The global shape of the RGS spectrum changes significantly along the orbit, with the most striking variation observed when the wind-wind interaction region is occulted by the dense WC wind: starting from phase 0.994, the X-rays are almost completely absorbed. A net increase in the line strength is observed when approaching periastron, in agreement with the expected increase of the emission measure. This is especially obvious for instance when looking at the Ne X Ly α line, going from phase 0.912 to 0.968.

4 Phase-locked variability interpretation

We measured the count rates in different energy bands in order to investigate in more detail the variability of WR 140 in X-rays. The count rate in the hard part of the spectrum (mostly unaffected by absorption) has its maximum close to periastron. This is interpreted in terms of variations of the emission measure of the emitting plasma, that changes along the eccentric orbit. In the soft part of the spectrum, the variations are at least qualitatively interpreted as the result of a competition between an emission measure effect (as the separation, D, of the WR and O5 stars varies as a function of the orbital phase) and an orientation effect (as the line of sight crosses absorbing material with changing



Figure 2: Fluxed RGS spectra of WR 140 for the six observations plotted between 6 and 20 Å. The spectra have been vertically shifted by an arbitrary value for clarity, and the zero-flux level for each spectrum is represented by the horizontal dotted lines. The most prominent spectral lines are labelled.

column density as a function of the orbital phase). Such measurements constitute valuable constraints for models currently in development aiming at a good 3-dimensional description of the physics of the colliding winds in WR140 (Parkin et al. 2011, and future developments).



Figure 3: Variation of the local column densitites as a function of the orbital phase. The values obtained from our simple modelling fit quite well the expected trend for WR140 illustrated by the two curves, calculated following an integration through the WC wind to a source moving along the eccentric orbit (see equations A16 and A17 in Williams et al. 1990). Orbital parameters from Marchenko et al. (2003) were used.

The stellar winds have reached their terminal velocity before colliding, so to first order the plasma temperature is expected to be constant with orbital phase (in fact, there will be small changes in the pre-shock velocities due to the expansion and contraction of the system (see, e.g. Pittard & Parkin 2010), plus possible changes near periastron due to increased cooling). We therefore assume that the global shape of the unabsorbed spectrum is constant, with variations in (i) the total emission measure due to the varying orbital separation, and (ii) the wind absorption. We fixed the ratio of the vapec normalization parameters, and we fixed the temperatures to the best fit values (along with the element abundances). The evolution of the normalization parameter of the first emission component shows that emission measure does not follow the 1/D trend expected for adiabatic cases (Stevens, Blondin & Pollock 1992). On the other hand, the absorbing column densities could be plotted to check their evolution as a function of the orbital phase, in order to be confronted to the expected trend described for instance by Williams et al. (1990). Fig. 3 shows that we find a fairly good agreement between the measured quantities and the expected ones, though ultimately the spectra should be

compared against more realistic models (e.g. Pittard & Parkin 2010).

5 Concluding remarks

At this stage of the analysis, we can formulate the following conclusions:

- 1. The XMM-Newton spectra obtained across periastron passage reveal a spectacular phase-locked variability, qualitatively explained in terms of varying emission measure and absorbing wind column densities.
- 2. The unabsorbed X-ray flux does not follow the simple 1/D trend expected for a self-similar adiabatic wind-wind collision region.
- 3. This first approach is severely limited by the capabilities of the simple models we used. Dedicated opacity tables have been prepared to improve the modelling of the absorption by the wind material (in progress).
- 4. In order to describe adequately the X-ray emission from WR 140, state-of-the-art models which are as realistic as possible are needed. Such models will have to deal with the 3D hydrodynamics and radiative transfer as a function of the orbital phase (e.g. Pittard 2009), and take into account more detailed physics such as non-equilibrium ionization, or the possible feedback of particle acceleration on shock properties.

Acknowledgements

Financial support from FRS-FNRS and XMM/INTEGRAL PRODEX contracts is acknowledged by MDB. Our thanks go also to the Science Operation Center (SOC) team for the scheduling of the XMM-Newton observations.

References

De Becker M. et al. 2011, A&A, in preparation Dougherty, S.M., Beasley, A.J., Claussen, M.J., Zauderer, B.A., & Bolingbroke, N.J. 2005, ApJ, 623, 447 Koyama K., Maeda Y., Tsuru T., Nagase F., Skinner S. 1994, PASJ, 46, L93 Marchenko S., Moffat A.F.J., Ballereau D. et al. 2003, ApJ, 596, 1295 Monnier J.D., Tuthill P.G. & Danchi W.C. 2002, ApJ, 567, L137 Parkin E.R., Pittard J.M., Corcoran M.F., Hamaguchi K., Stevens I.R., Gosset E., Rauw G., & De Becker M. 2011, in Proceedings of the 39th Liège Astrophysical Colloquium, eds. G. Rauw, M. De Becker, Y. Nazé, J.-M. Vreux & P. Williams, BSRSL, 80, 610 Pittard J.M. 2009, MNRAS, 396, 1743 Pittard J.M. & Parkin E.R. 2010, MNRAS, 403, 1657 Pollock A.M.T., Corcoran M.F., Stevens I.R., & Williams P.M. 2005, ApJ, 629, 482 Stevens I.R., Blondin J.M. & Pollock A.M.T, 1992, ApJ, 386, 265 White R.L. & Becker R.H. 1995, ApJ, 451, 352 Williams P.M., van der Hucht K.A., Pollock A.M.T., Florkowski D.R., van der Woerd H., Wamsteker W. M. 1990, MNRAS, 243, 662 Williams P.M., van der Hucht K.A., & Spoelstra T.A.T. 1994, A&A, 291, 805 Williams P.M., Marchenko S.V., Marston A.P. et al. 2009, MNRAS, 395, 1749

- Williams P.M. 2011, in Proceedings of the 39th Liège Astrophysical Colloquium, eds. G. Rauw, M. De Becker, Y. Nazé, J.-M. Vreux & P. Williams, BSRSL, 80, 595
- Wilms J., Allen A. & McCray R. 2000, ApJ, 542, 914
- Zhekov S.A. & Skinner S.L. 2000, ApJ, 538, 808