The Oligocene/Miocene boundary in the ON-Mol-1 and Weelde boreholes along the southern margin of the North Sea Basin, Belgium
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ABSTRACT. Based on palynologic interpretations, the Oligocene/Miocene boundary was identified in the cored samples of the ON-Mol-1 and Weelde boreholes in the southern North Sea Basin. In both boreholes, the presumed location of the Oligocene/Miocene boundary is marked by a thin gravel bed, which testifies of a hiatus, within a continuation of shelly, dark green to black fine glauconitic sands. In the Weelde borehole, the hiatus is relatively short as the dinocysts under- and overlying the gravel bed suggest a late(st) Chattian and early Aquitanian age. This study thereby for the first time indicates the presence of Aquitanian strata in the area. In the ON-Mol-1 borehole, located south of the Weelde borehole, the hiatus is longer as dinocysts under- and overlying the gravel bed suggest an early Chattian and late Aquitanian age. The wider ranges of the hiatus might be the result of the combination of erosion/non-deposition of late Chattian strata and the gradual transgression of the southern North Sea Basin from north to south after the Oligocene/Miocene sea-level fall. The sampled interval around the Oligocene/Miocene boundary contains a lot of reworked Paleogene (including irregular upper Oligocene species occurrences) and Mesozoic taxa, which explains why the Miocene strata in the ON-Mol-1 and Weelde boreholes were dated as Chattian by previous studies.
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1. Introduction

During the late Oligocene and Neogene, glauconitic sands were deposited in shallow marine conditions across the southern North Sea Basin (Fig. 1). The deposition of these glauconitic sands was not continuous, but interrupted by several hiatuses. One of the most important and widespread hiatuses in the southern North Sea Basin coincides with the Oligocene/Miocene boundary, in the Netherlands often referred to as Savian phase (in Wong et al., 2001; Verbeek et al., 2002; Munsterman & Brinkhuis, 2004). In the northern Campine Block, a structural entity along the southern margin of the North Sea Basin, this hiatus coincides with the boundary between the Voort and Berchem Formations (Figs 2 and 3). Here, age information on the late Oligocene Voort Formation was mainly based on biostratigraphic and radiometric studies on cored sections of the ON-Mol-1 (DOV ON-Mol-1) and Weelde boreholes (DOV kh8d8e-B161; cf. Van Simaeys, 2004; Van Simaeys et al. 2004; 2005; De Man & Van Simaeys, 2004; De Man et al., 2010). The age information on the early to middle Miocene Berchem Formation was then again based on biostratigraphic studies in its outcropping areas and near and within the city of Antwerp and in several boreholes across the northern Campine Block (Louwye, 2005 and references therein), not including the ON-Mol-1 and Weelde boreholes. As the Voort and Berchem Formations were studied separately, the boundary between them or the Oligocene/Miocene hiatus was not yet biostratigraphically analysed in boreholes in the northern Campine Block, which resulted in limited knowledge on its exact timing and influence upon palaeoenvironmental conditions.

In order to study the Oligocene/Miocene boundary along the southern margin of the North Sea Basin, we resampled the cored
intervals of the ON-Mol-1 and Weelde boreholes at the presumed location of the Oligocene-Miocene hiatus for palynologic analyses. This article presents the results of dinocyst analysis of the ON-Mol-1 and Weelde boreholes (Fig. 3). These results provide new insights in the timing of the Oligocene/Miocene hiatus and its relation to the sedimentary and palaeoenvironmental conditions in the southern North Sea Basin.

2. Stratigraphy

The study area is located in the northern part of the Campine Block, a relatively stable tectonic block in the western flank of the differentially subsiding Roer Valley Rift System and along the southern margin of the Cenozoic North Sea Basin (Fig. 2). During most of the Rupelian, the margin of the southern North Sea Basin was receiving clay-rich sediments. The main body of this stratigraphic unit is composed of clays that belong to the Boom Formation. Benthic foraminiferal analyses show that the lower part of the Boom Formation is characterized by normal marine shelf conditions with temporal open connections towards the ocean realm and water depths around 100 m (De Man & Van Simaeys, 2004). The upper part of the Boom Formation and the overlying Eigenbilzen Formation show a gradual shallowing and the realization of a poorly ventilated and more isolated marine environment (Van Simaeys et al., 2004). A late Rupelian sea-level low caused temporal sub-aerial exposure of the marginal marine southern North Sea Basin. A striking feature at the Rupelian-Chattian boundary in the southern North Sea Basin is the major change in palaeotemperature (increase) and palaeobathymetry (decrease; Van Simaeys et al., 2004). The latter author states that the latter change is associated with an important shift in lithology, from the clayey silts of the Eigenbilzen Formation in the Rupelian to the glauconite- and fossil-rich, fine-grained, dark green sands of the Voort Formation in the Chattian. The base of the Rupelian-Chattian sequence of the Voort Formation is characterized by marginal marine to brackish-marine conditions, with water depths not exceeding 20 m, while higher up the Chattian successions, conditions return to a normal marine environment (De Man & Van Simaeys, 2004). Aquitanian deposits are not found so that the earliest Miocene deposits that cover the Campine Block are of the early Burdigalian age and belong to the Berchem Formation (Louwye, 2005). The Berchem Formation consists of shallow marine very glauconiferous, fossil-rich, fine- to medium-grained dark green to black sands that were deposited from the early Burdigalian to Serravallian (Laga et al., 2001). In the Antwerp type area, the Berchem Formation has a distinct basal gravel bed. During the Tortonian and Messinian, the Berchem Formation became covered from east to west by the shallow marine glauconitic sands of the Diest Formation (Louwye et al., 1999).

3. Material and methods

3.1. Material

The Oligocene strata in the ON-Mol-1 and Weelde boreholes are entirely cored between depths of 150–331 m and 228–470 m respectively. These cores had yet been sampled and biostratigraphically analysed by Van Simaeys et al. (2004; 2005). For our palynological analyses, we tried to take samples from these boreholes at similar locations to be able to compare our results with those from the latter authors. Since our focus was the Oligocene/Miocene boundary, we only sampled the upper parts of the cored interval of the ON-Mol-1 and Weelde boreholes, between depths of 150–174 m and 229–235 m respectively. For the ON-Mol-1 borehole, the entire glauconitic sandy succession on top of the Eigenbilzen Formation was thereby sampled. After the initial results from our palynological analyses of the ON-Mol-1 borehole, we focused the sampling of the Weelde borehole around a gravel bed at 232.4 m depth.

Core samples were taken every one to two metres. The locations of the samples are indicated in Figure 3.

3.2. Methods

Organic-walled dinoflagellate cysts (dinocysts) analysis has led to significant improvements in the age assessment of the late Paleogene-Neogene successions in NW Europe and in understanding their palaeoenvironmental setting (e.g. Powell, 1986 and 1992; Head et al., 1989; Brinkhuis et al., 1992; Zevenboom, 1995; de Verteuil & Norris, 1996; Head, 1998; Dybkjær & Rasmussen, 2000; Köthe, 2007; Köthe et al., 2008; De Schepper & Head, 2009; Dybkjær & Piascecki, 2010; Anthonissen, 2012; Quaijtiaal et al., 2014; De Schepper et al., 2015, 2017). The potential of dinoflagellate cyst analyses in (bio)stratigraphic differentiation has also been confirmed for the late Paleogene and Neogene intervals in Belgium and the Netherlands (e.g. Louwye, 2002; Louwye et al., 2004; Munsterman & Brinkhuis, 2004; Van Simaeys et al., 2004, 2005).

Standard palynological techniques, including HCL and HF digestion, 15 µm sieving and no oxidation, were applied. The slides were mounted in glycerin jelly. Dinocyst taxonomy is according to that cited in Williams et al. (2017).

One microscope slide per sample was counted until at least 200 palynomorphs (spores, pollen and dinoflagellate cysts) had been identified, the remainder of the slides was scanned for rare taxa. Miscellaneous fossils (like e.g. Pediasstrum, Botryococcus) were also counted, but kept outside the total sum of 200 specimens.

The Miocene dinoflagellate cyst (dinocyst) zonation is based on Munsterman & Brinkhuis (2004), recalibrated to the Geological Time Scale of Ogg et al. (2016). This zonation is based on consistent dinocyst events (mainly on last occurrence datum) from available peer-reviewed palynological contributions in NW Europe and also includes use of a global compilation calibrated to
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Samples</th>
<th>Dinocyst event</th>
<th>Lithostratigraphy (this study)</th>
<th>Lithostratigraphy (Van Simaeys et al. 2004)</th>
<th>Restricted + open marine taxa</th>
<th>Reworking palynomorphs</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ON-MOL-1</td>
<td>FO Hob</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Classopollis</td>
<td>Chiropteridium galea</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Gco</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Glaphyrocysta spp.</td>
<td>Glaphyrocysta spp.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Csp</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Classopollis echinatus</td>
<td>Classopollis spp.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Cga</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Membranophoridium connectum</td>
<td>Cordosphaeridium cantharellum</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Tpe</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Chiropteridium spp.</td>
<td>Cricotocystis spp.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Min</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Cricotocystis spp.</td>
<td>Membranophoridium intermedium</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>NSM8</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Membranophoridium intermedium</td>
<td>Oligospheeridium complex</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>NSM7</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Oligospheeridium complex</td>
<td>Callosiliophaeridium magna</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>NSM6</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Callosiliophaeridium magna</td>
<td>Classopollis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>NSM5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Classopollis</td>
<td>Rotosphaeropsis thula</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>NSM4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Rotosphaeropsis thula</td>
<td>Deflandrea phosphoritica</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>NSM3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Deflandrea phosphoritica</td>
<td>Hystrichodinium pulchrum</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>NSM2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Hystrichodinium pulchrum</td>
<td>Cricotocystis spp.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>NSM1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Cricotocystis spp.</td>
<td>Cerebropollenites spp.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>NSO8</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Cerebropollenites spp.</td>
<td>Classopollis spp.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>NSO7</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Cerebropollenites spp.</td>
<td>Classopollis spp.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>NSO6</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Cerebropollenites spp.</td>
<td>Systematophora daveyi</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>NSO5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Systematophora daveyi</td>
<td>Sim nodium grossis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>NSO4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Sim nodium grossis</td>
<td>Gonyaulacysta jurassica</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>NSO3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Gonyaulacysta jurassica</td>
<td>Classopollis spp.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>NSO2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Classopollis spp.</td>
<td>Verruclites sp.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>NSO1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Verruclites sp.</td>
<td>Areoligera gippingensis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>NO</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Areoligera gippingensis</td>
<td>Oligosphaeridium spp.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>HO</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Oligosphaeridium spp.</td>
<td>Gochtiodinina villosa</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>FO</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Gochtiodinina villosa</td>
<td>Classopollis spp.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Wo</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Classopollis spp.</td>
<td>Chlamydoporella niesi</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Wsy</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Chlamydoporella niesi</td>
<td>Gochtiodinina mutabilis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Wsp</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Gochtiodinina mutabilis</td>
<td>Gonyaulacysta spp.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>LO</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Gonyaulacysta spp.</td>
<td>Cerebropollenites spp.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Csp</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Cerebropollenites spp.</td>
<td>Classopollis spp.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Cga</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Classopollis spp.</td>
<td>Systematophora spp.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>NSM2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Systematophora spp.</td>
<td>Lepidodinium spp.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>NSM1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Lepidodinium spp.</td>
<td>Areoligera spp.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>NSO8</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Areoligera spp.</td>
<td>Cribroperidinium spp.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>NSO7</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Cribroperidinium spp.</td>
<td>Systematophora spp.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>NSO6</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Systematophora spp.</td>
<td>Classopollis spp.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 3. The sample locations, lithology with log-signatures, litho- and biostratigraphy of the Weelde borehole (above; DOV kb88de-B161) and ON-MOL-1 borehole (below; DOV ON-MOL-1) according to Van Simaeys et al. (2004) and this study. Abbreviations: FO = First Occurrence; LO = Last Occurrence; Ase = Areoligera semicirculata; Cga = Chiropteridium galeae; Csp = Chiropteridium spp.; Cca = Cordosphaeridium cantharellum; Dbi = Distatodinium bifiti; Dsp = Deflandrea spp.; Epi = Ectosphaeridium piceum; Geo = Gerdiocysta conopeum; Hva = Homotryblium vallum; Hob = Hystrichosphaeropsis obscura; Mco = Membranophoridium intermedium; Min = Membranophoridium intermedium; Tpe = Thalassiphora pelagic; Wsp = Wetzeliella spp.; Wsy = Wetzeliella symmetrica.

*1 LO (single specimen and/or inconsistent) of genera Deflandrea and Wetzeliella (in the present study considered as reworking). LO of Distatodinium bifiti (Brinkhuis et al., 1992). This taxon differs in characterization from all other species of this genus by the absence of processes in the medial area and a very big size of the central body length: 68–121 µm (holotype, 95 µm) and width: 35–63 µm (holotype, 52 µm). We measured the central body of the Distatodinium species without processes in the equatorial area of the cyst in our samples and noted that all of them where below the minimum size range boundary in the definition of the taxon. The central bodies are far (ca. 50%) less in size than that of the holotype. We registered the morphotype as Distatodinium sp. The LOD of this taxon occurs together with Hystrichosphaeropsis obscura in the Miocene.

*2 LO (inconsistent) Areoligera semicirculata (in the present study considered as reworking).
The palynomorph (sporomorphs and dinoflagellate cysts) recovery is very good in both borehole sections. In general most of the assemblages are well preserved, but not all individual species. Low percentages of species show weathering. Several of the assemblages are well preserved, but not all individual species. The palynomorph (sporomorphs and dinoflagellate cysts) component can be substantial, however subordinate to microfossils with a marine origin. Spores comprise 21–17% of the total sum dinoflagellate cysts and sporomorphs (spores and pollen). Bisaccate pollen (gymnosperms) are present in fluctuating numbers, but in average 32.7% (ON-Mol-1) – 33.7% (Weelde) of the total sum dinocysts and sporomorphs. The marine dinocysts are moderately to fairly varied in species richness. Striking is the occurrence of a “schizosporous” algae that may be very common to abundant in the assemblages (Fig. 3). The algal genus mentioned is Paralecaniella and (in common numbers) associated with coastal (very) restricted marine settings (Louvye & De Schepper, 2010) or according to King (2016) even brackish conditions. The latter is doubted for the current setting, because typical freshwater (Pediastrum) and brackish (Botryococcus) indicators are missing here. Based on the percentages of this restricted marine Paralecaniella (on the total sum of marine palynomorphs), borehole ON-Mol-1 shows overall (much) higher numbers. The following trends in the values of Paralecaniella were encountered:

- Zone NSO4b-5a shows the lowest values of Paralecaniella (4–5% in borehole ON-Mol-1) and the highest numbers of the dinocyst genus Spiniferites. The latter has a preferential orientation for open marine conditions.
- The numbers of Paralecaniella increase in the course of the early Chattian, Zone NSO6, in particular at the top of this zone in borehole ON-Mol-1, where percentages up to 65% (of the total sum of marine palynomorphs) are reached. Here, very restricted marine conditions likely prevail. At the upper part of the NSO6 zone, the increase in the numbers of Paralecaniella coincides with an increase in the gamma-ray values, related to an increased glauconite-content.
- In borehole Weelde, Zone NSO6 shows lower number of Paralecaniella (reaching only 11–12% Paralecaniella and including relatively substantial numbers of Operculodinium, Achomosphaera and Spiniferites) indicating shallow marine circumstances.
- In the late Chattian Zone NSO8 assemblage of borehole Weelde, the number of Paralecaniella decreases to less than 2% and in particular genus Spiniferites comprises the highest values (acme). These changes in microflora indicate a return to more open marine conditions.
- In the early Aquitanian Zone NSM1 assemblage of borehole Weelde, the number of Paralecaniella has increased again to 17%, which indicates a return to a shallow marine environment.
- In the subsequent Early Miocene successions shallower to restricted marine circumstances are interpreted, based on the numbers of Paralecaniella (in borehole Weelde, Zone M1: 17%; Zone M2: 19%; in borehole ON-Mol-1, Zone M3: 40%).

5. Discussion

5.1. Comparison with previous studies on the Mol-1 and Weelde boreholes

Based on our dinocyst analyses, we located the Rupelian/Chattian boundary at a depth between 168.6 and 169.6 m in the ON-Mol-1 borehole (Fig. 3). These analyses are in agreement with biostratigraphic and radiometric interpretations of Van Simaeys et al. (2004) and De Man et al. (2010) that identified the Rupelian/Chattian boundary at a depth of 169.5 m. As this
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### Palynomorphs

- **Scolecodont remains**: 7
- **Cymatiosphaera spp.**: 6
- **Tasmanaceae**: 5
- **Pterospermella spp.**: 4
- **Cyclopsiella spp.**: 3
- **Prasinophyte algae**: 2
- **Foraminifera**: 1
- **Classopollis spp.**: 4
- **Bisaccates**: 1
- **Wetzeliella spp.**: 80
- **Tectodinium pellitum**: 79
- **Selenopemphix quanta**: 78
- **Polysphaeridium zoharyi**: 77
- **Hystrichokolpoma salacium**: 76
- **Hystrichodinium pulchrum**: 75
- **Filisphaera spp.**: 74
- **Enneadocysta pectiniformis**: 73
- **Deflandrea spp.**: 72
- **Chiropteridium spp.**: 71
- **Thalassiphora spp.**: 70
- **Svalbardella spp.**: 69
- **Spiniferites pseudofurcatus**: 68
- **Saturnodinium pansum**: 67
- **Lophocysta spp.**: 66
- **Kallosphaeridium capitatum**: 65
- **Impagidinium spp.**: 62
- **Glaphyrocysta spp.**: 60
- **Dingodinium spp.**: 58
- **Areoligera semicirculata**: 55
- **Melitasphaeridium choanophorum**: 53
- **Hystrichokolpoma reducta**: 52
- **Gelatia spp.**: 51
- **Barssidinium spp.**: 49
- **Chiropteridium galea**: 48
- **Selenopemphix spp.**: 47
- **Homotryblium spp.**: 46
- **Heteraulacacysta spp.**: 45
- **Ectosphaeridium picenum**: 44
- **Deflandrea phosphoritica**: 43
- **Cordosphaeridium minimum**: 42
- **Operculodinium spp.**: 41
- **Operculodinium piaseckii**: 40
- **Hystrichosphaeropsis obscura**: 39
- **Hystrichokolpoma rigaudiae**: 38
- **Distatodinium spp.**: 37
- **Distatodinium ellipticum**: 36
- **Dinopterygium spp.**: 35
- **Trinovantedinium spp.**: 34
- **Systematophora placacantha**: 33
- **Spiniferites spp.**: 32
- **Selenopemphix brevispinosa**: 31
- **Reticulatosphaera actinocoronata**: 30
- **Pentadinium spp.**: 29
- **Palaeocystodinium golzowense**: 28
- **Operculodinium centrocarpum**: 27
- **Lingulodinium machaerophorum**: 26
- **Lejeunecysta spp.**: 25
- **Impletosphaeridium spp.**: 24
- **Hystrichokolpoma spp.**: 23
- **Homotryblium pallidum**: 22
- **Genus A/B species A/B**: 21
- **Distatodinium paradoxum**: 20
- **Dapsilidinium spp.**: 19
- **Cribroperidinium spp.**: 18
- **Cordosphaeridium spp.**: 17
- **Cordosphaeridium cantharellum**: 16
- **Bitectatodinium tepikiense**: 15
- **Batiacasphaera spp.**: 14
- **Apteodinium spiridoides**: 13
- **Apteodinium australiense**: 12
- **Distatodinium cavatum**: 11
- **Densosporites spp.**: 10
- **Callialasporites spp.**: 9
- **Classopollis spp.**: 8
- **Sporomorphs (excl. bisaccates)**: 7
- **Sporomorphs Pre-Quaternary**: 6
- **Miscellaneous**: 5
- **Sporomorphs Quaternary**: 4
- **Sporomorphs Oligocene/Miocene**: 3
- **Sporomorphs Middle Eocene**: 2
- **Sporomorphs Lower Eocene**: 1

### Figure 4

Palynological distribution chart of borehole Weelde (DOV kb8d8e-B161).
The chart is also available as supplementary material.
Figure 5. Palynological distribution chart of borehole ON-Mol-1 (DOV ON-Mol-1). The chart is also available as supplementary material.
The Oligocene/Miocene boundary in the ON-Mol-1 and Weelde boreholes

boundary coincides with an abrupt shallowing of the depositional environment or coarsening of the sediment (from silty clay towards silty fine sand in the ON-Mol-1 borehole), it also forms the boundary between the (late Rupelian) Eigenbilzen and ( Chattian) Voort Formations (Van Simaeys et al., 2004; Vandenberge et al., 2004). From the lower boundary of the Voort Formation up to the gravel beds at depths of 155.9 and 232.4 m, respectively in the ON-Mol-1 and Weelde boreholes, our dinocyst analyses continue to be in agreement with the biostratigraphic and radiometric interpretations of Van Simaeys et al. (2004) and De Man et al. (2010) as they point towards a Chattian age. Above the gravel beds, however, the latter authors interpreted a late Oligocene age, while our dinocyst analyses indicate a Miocene age.

Our (re)interpretation of the dinocyst zonation of Van Simaeys et al. (2004) above the gravel beds is based on the following arguments:

- According to this study, the First Occurrence Datum (FOD) of Hystrichosphaeropsis obscura is recorded in the first samples just above the gravel bed in both boreholes. De Verteuil & Norris (1996) records the FOD of this taxon in the early Miocene (late Aquitanian). Munsterman & Brinkhuis (2004) confirmed the age of this event in boreholes Groote Heide and Heumensoord (located in the Netherlands).
- Distatodinium biffii is an undisputed marker for the Oligocene (Brinkhuis et al., 1992; de Verteuil & Norris, 1996; Munsterman & Brinkhuis, 2004). According to this study and Van Simaeys (2004), the Last Occurrence Datum (LOD) of Distatodinium biffii is reached (in low numbers) in samples below the gravel bed in borehole Weelde. In borehole ON-Mol-1, we did not record any Distatodinium biffii contrary to Van Simaeys et al. (2004) who interpreted this taxon in every sample between 152.79–172.56 m. According to our interpretations, the central body lengths of the encountered Distatodinium species are too small (only 50% of the holotype) to be identified as the current taxon, and were therefore registered as Distatodinium sp. The LOD of Distatodinium sp. is, contrary to Distatodinium biffii, in the Miocene.
- Van Simaeys et al. (2004) identified Chiropteridium sp. above the gravel bed (sample depth 231.16 m) in the Weelde borehole, which is also confirmed in our dataset (sample depth 232.18–232.21 m). The LOD of this species indicates that the early Miocene (Aquitanian) is reached (de Verteuil & Norris, 1996; Munsterman & Brinkhuis, 2004).
- Van Simaeys et al. (2004) show inconsistent occurrences of Wetzeliella, a marker genus for the Oligocene (Van Simaeys et al., 2005), in their two topmost samples above the gravel bed in the ON-Mol-1 borehole, which we interpret as reworking. In general, we noticed several percentages of reworking from late Oligocene and older palynomorphs in the Miocene samples in both the ON-Mol-1 and Weelde boreholes.

Reworking could also explain the inconsistency between the early Miocene biostratigraphic dates of this study and the late Oligocene radiometric dates of glauconite pellets as established by De Man et al. (2010) above the gravel layer in the ON-Mol-1 borehole. In the overlying glauconitic sands of the Upper Miocene Diest Formation, for example, the difference between dinocyst ages and radiometric ages of reworked glauconite pellets could easily exceed 5 Ma (Vandenberge et al., 2014).

5.2. The Oligocene/Miocene boundary (see Figs 3 and 6)

As the glauconitic fine sands of the Voort Formation become dark green to black in their upper parts in the ON-Mol-1 and Weelde boreholes, their appearance becomes very similar to the typical facies of the overlying Miocene Berchem Formation, which makes it very difficult or even impossible to separate them purely on palynological criteria alone. Within this homogeneous succession of shelly, dark green to black fine glauconitic sands, however, a thin gravel bed was observed in both the ON-Mol-1 and Weelde boreholes at depths of 155.9 and 232.4 m respectively. Our dinocyst analyses of samples below and above this gravel bed indicate an Oligocene and Miocene age respectively. Since the Voort and Berchem Formations are known to have been deposited during the late Oligocene and early to middle Miocene respectively, the gravel bed also seems to mark their boundary.

Also at other locations in the southern margin of the North Sea Basin, a gravel bed was observed at the base of the Miocene transgression and deposition of the Berchem and Boldberg Formations. These gravel beds are referred to as “Burcht gravel” in the Antwerp area (Dewalque, 1876) and “Elseoo gravel” in the Limburg area (Halet, 1920). This gravel bed, if encountered, therefore represents a useful criterion to distinguish the Voort and Berchem Formations in the study area. The presence of the regional gravel bed is considered the result of a hiatus after the deposition of the Voort Formation and prior to the deposition of the Berchem Formation. The range of this hiatus is different in the ON-Mol-1 and Weelde boreholes, which might be mainly related to two processes:

- Erosion prior to Miocene deposition due to relative sea-level lowering related to regional tectonic uplift (i.e. Savian phase in Wong et al., 2001; Verbeek et al., 2002; Munsterman & Brinkhuis, 2004). A relative sea-level fall around the Oligocene-Miocene boundary is supported in this study by the presence of more restricted organic-walled palynomorph assemblages in the early Aquitanian samples (NSM1) compared to the late(st) Chattian samples (NSO8) in the Weelde borehole. The presence of upper(most) Chattian strata in the Weelde borehole indicates that possible tectonic uplift with erosion, if present, could only have been minor at this location. The absence of upper Chattian strata in the ON-Mol-1 borehole indicates that more uplift with erosion could have taken place at this location. However, the absence of upper Chattian strata at this location could also be related to a longer period of exposure after the Oligocene/Miocene sea-level fall compared to the Weelde borehole (which was covered by early Aquitanian strata).
- West of the ON-Mol-1 and Weelde boreholes, in the Antwerp area, tectonic uplift related to the Savian phase is evident by complete removal of the Voort Formation and erosion of the upper parts of the Boom Formation underneath the Berchem Formation (Fig. 6).

The gradual transgression and coverage of the southern margin of the North Sea Basin from north(west) to south during the early Miocene (Louwye, 2005). This process explains the older age of Miocene sediments in the Weelde borehole (early Aquitanian) compared to the ON-Mol-1 borehole (late Aquitanian to early Burdigalian), as the first is located north of the latter.

The establishment of an early Aquitanian age for the lowermost part of the Berchem Formation in the Weelde borehole is the oldest for the Campine Block (cf. Louwye, 2005). The thin interval (maximum 1.3 m thickness) of the Aquitanian strata and the northern position of the Weelde borehole compared to other boreholes in the Campine Block, might explain why Aquitanian strata were not identified elsewhere.

6. Conclusions

Based on palynologic interpretations, we identified the Oligocene/Miocene boundary in the cored samples of the ON-Mol-1 and Weelde boreholes in the southern North Sea Basin.

The studied interval contains a substantial number of reworked Paleogene, including irregular upper Oligocene species occurrences (and Mesozoic taxa), which explains why the Miocene strata in the ON-Mol-1 and Weelde boreholes had been dated as late Oligocene by previous studies.

In both boreholes, the Oligocene/Miocene boundary is marked by a thin gravel bed within a continuation of (otherwise indistinguishable) shelly, dark green to black fine glauconitic sands of the Oligocene Voort Formation and early to middle Miocene Berchem Formation.

The gravel beds testify of a hiatus and the Oligocene/Miocene boundary, which was largest in the ON-Mol-1 borehole as it spans the late Chattian and Aquitanian. The wider range of the hiatus might be the result of the combination of erosion/non-deposition of late Chattian strata and the gradual transgression of the southern North Sea Basin from north (Weelde) to south (ON-Mol-1) after the Oligocene-Miocene relative sea-level fall.

This is the first establishment of an early Aquitanian age for the lowermost part of the Berchem Formation in the Campine Block.
Figure 6. Schematic cross section of the late Oligocene to late Miocene chrono- and bio- and lithostratigraphy from the Weelde borehole across (DOV kb8d8e-B161) the ON-Mol-1 borehole (DOV ON-Mol-1) towards the Antwerp area. The age assessment of the Berchem Formation in the Antwerp area is based on Louwye (2005). In this cross section we presume that the Chattian sediments of the Voort Formations that were deposited in the Antwerp area (see Fig. 2) were eroded prior to the deposition of the Berchem Formation in the same area. The location of this cross section is indicated in Figure 2.
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