Radiation-MHD models of elephant trunks and globules in H II regions
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\textbf{Abstract:} We study the formation and evolution of pillars of dense gas, known as elephant trunks, at the boundaries of H II regions, formed by shadowing of ionising radiation by dense clumps. The effects of magnetic fields on this process are investigated using 3D radiation-magnetohydrodynamics simulations. For a simulation in which an initially uniform magnetic field of strength $|\mathbf{B}| \approx 50 \mu G$ is oriented perpendicular to the radiation propagation direction, the field is swept into alignment with the pillar during its dynamical evolution, in agreement with observations of the “Pillars of Creation” in M16, and of some cometary globules. This effect is significantly enhanced when the simulation is re-run with a weaker field of $\approx 18 \mu G$. A stronger field with $|\mathbf{B}| \approx 160 \mu G$ is sufficient to prevent this evolution completely, also significantly affecting the photoionisation process. Using a larger simulation domain it is seen that the pillar formation models studied in Mackey & Lim (2010) ultimately evolve to cometary structures in the absence of dense gas further from the star.

1 Introduction

The interstellar magnetic field in the Eagle Nebula (M16) was measured by Sugitani et al. (2007) using near infrared polarisation observations of background stars. They found that the field orientation within the massive pillars of gas and dust in M16 (Hester et al. 1996), known as the “Pillars of Creation”, is aligned with the long axis of the pillars and with the UV radiation propagation direction, but misaligned with the ambient magnetic field (see Fig. 3 below). If the pillars have formed dynamically due to shadowing of ionising radiation (e.g. Williams, Ward-Thompson & Whitworth 2001; Lim & Mellema 2003) then it appears the field has been re-oriented by this process. Sugitani et al. (2007) suggest that this may constrain the ambient field strength in M16 because ionised gas pressure appears to dominate the dynamics. Here we test this suggestion and build on our earlier non-magnetised results (Mackey & Lim 2010, hereafter ML10) by using 3D radiation-magnetohydrodynamics (R-MHD) simulations to model the formation of pillars and globules due to shadowing of ionising radiation by pre-existing dense clumps.

A uniform grid, 2nd order accurate, finite volume code (see ML10) is used for the MHD calculations with a Roe-type Riemann solver (Cargo & Gallice 1997). The short characteristics ray-tracer is used to track direct monochromatic ionising radiation and the on-the-spot approximation for diffuse radiation. Radiative cooling is calculated explicitly for recombining hydrogen and using a cooling
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Table 1: Simulation parameters for model R5, showing grid resolution (zones), domain coordinates in parsecs \((X_{\text{min}}, X_{\text{max}})\) relative to the radiation source which has monochromatic photon luminosity and photon energy as indicated. Clump positions, peak number density \((n_{\text{H}})\), Gaussian scale radius \((r_0)\) and total mass \((M)\) are as indicated; the background magnetic field (in \(\mu G\)), number density \((n_{\text{H}})\) and gas pressure \((p_g)\) are similarly indicated.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>(x)</th>
<th>(y)</th>
<th>(z)</th>
<th>Further information.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Zones</td>
<td>384</td>
<td>256</td>
<td>256</td>
<td>Uniform Cartesian grid.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(X_{\text{min}})</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>-1.5</td>
<td>-1.5</td>
<td>position in parsecs relative to the source.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(X_{\text{max}})</td>
<td>6.0</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>position in parsecs relative to the source.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Source</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>(L_{\gamma} = 2 \times 10^{50} \text{s}^{-1}, \ h\nu_0 = 13.6 \text{eV} = 5.0 \text{eV})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clump 1</td>
<td>2.30</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>(n_{\text{H}} = 10^5 \text{cm}^{-3}, \ r_0 = 0.09 \text{pc}, \ M = 28.4 M_\odot)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clump 2</td>
<td>2.75</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>(n_{\text{H}} = 10^5 \text{cm}^{-3}, \ r_0 = 0.09 \text{pc}, \ M = 28.4 M_\odot)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clump 3</td>
<td>3.20</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-0.12</td>
<td>(n_{\text{H}} = 10^5 \text{cm}^{-3}, \ r_0 = 0.09 \text{pc}, \ M = 28.4 M_\odot)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Background</td>
<td>(B_0 = [0, 0, 53])</td>
<td>(n_{\text{H}} = 200 \text{cm}^{-3}, \ p_g = 1.38 \times 10^{-11} \text{dyne cm}^{-2})</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The evolution of dense gas over 400 kyr is shown in Fig. 1, where the initial field is horizontal and in the plane of the images. The evolution is broadly similar to that of the R-HD model in ML10 for the first \(\sim 250\) kyr, but there are notable differences. Radiation-driven implosion \((t \sim 100\) kyr) is more pronounced along field lines than across them, leading to a flattened structure (cf. Henney et al. 2009); the narrow tail in the second panel is actually a sheet seen edge-on and the clumps are also flattened. Following this \((200 - 300\) kyr) magnetic pressure generates a stronger re-expansion and hence the pillar/globule is larger and has a lower density than the R-HD case. The re-expansion leads to fragmentation in this model \((t \gtrsim 400\) kyr), just beginning in the right-most panel. It is seen that the simulation ultimately evolves from being pillar-like (dense elongated structure) to a cometary globule (dense flattened head, low density neutral tail) in the absence of dense gas further from the star. We have confirmed that this is also true when the model is run with zero magnetic field (i.e. identical to model 17 in ML10 but with a larger simulation domain).

---

1For more information, including test results, see http://www.astro.uni-bonn.de/~jmackey/jmac/.
3 Discussion

The projected magnetic field orientation was calculated by a density-weighted integration of “Stokes parameters” $Q$ and $U$ for the perpendicular magnetic field along the lines of sight (LOS) (cf. Arthur et al. 2010):

$$
\langle Q \rangle = \int_{z=0}^{\infty} \min[n_H(z), n_{\text{max}}] \frac{B_x^2 - B_y^2}{\sqrt{B_x^2 + B_y^2}} dz, \quad \langle U \rangle = \int_{z=0}^{\infty} \min[n_H(z), n_{\text{max}}] \frac{2B_xB_y}{\sqrt{B_x^2 + B_y^2}} dz,
$$

with $n_{\text{max}} = 2.5 \times 10^4 \text{cm}^{-3}$. Here $x - y$ is the image plane and $z$ is distance along the LOS (i.e. observer rather than simulation coordinates). The projected field is then recovered from $\langle Q \rangle$ and $\langle U \rangle$ using trigonometric relations.

Formally this has units of $\mu G \text{ cm}^{-2}$, but the normalisation is irrelevant for the orientation. The projected field orientation is over-plotted on column density maps in Fig. 2, projected such that the initial field is fully in the image plane and vertical. At $t = 250$ kyr the clumps have undergone significant dynamical evolution and the pillar-like structure is slowly being flattened due to the rocket effect. The magnetic field, while relatively unaffected in ionised gas and the low density tail region, has clearly been dragged into alignment with the pillar in dense gas due to the pillar’s acceleration away from the star. At 400 kyr only a flattened cometary globule remains, but the field is even more strongly aligned with the acceleration direction. This clearly shows that an initially perpendicular field is swept into alignment with the pillar during its dynamical evolution, and that this alignment remains once the structure evolves to a cometary morphology. This is in agreement with the observations of the pillars in M16 (reproduced here in Fig. 3, Sugitani et al. 2007) and some cometary globules (e.g. Bhatt, Maheswar, & Manoj, 2004) which also have the field aligned with the cometary tail.

The behaviour of the same simulation with a magnetic field 3× weaker (R2) and 3× stronger (R8) is rather different however (to be discussed in more detail in Mackey & Lim 2011). For R2 with $B \simeq 18 \mu G$ the field is more easily deformed by photoionisation-induced gas motions and the alignment is even stronger, whereas for R8 with $B \simeq 160 \mu G$ the field is dynamically dominant and barely changes from its initial perpendicular state. This is shown in the right panel of Fig. 3 where the ratio of the volume averaged field parallel and perpendicular to the radiation propagation...
Figure 2: Column density (colour scale in cm$^{-2}$) and projected magnetic field (lines show orientation only) for the R5 simulation at 250 kyr (above) and 400 kyr (below). The projection LOS is $\hat{n} = \hat{x} \sin(20^\circ) + \hat{y} \cos(20^\circ)$ in the simulation coordinate system, so the initial magnetic field ($B = 53 \mu$G) is vertical and $\perp$ to the LOS. The horizontal axis labelled $P$ is therefore $\hat{P} = \hat{x} \cos(20^\circ) - \hat{y} \sin(20^\circ)$ i.e. a $20^\circ$ rotation from the $x$-axis about the $z$-axis.

Figure 3: Figure 9b from Sugitani et al. (2007) showing near-IR absorption polarimetry observations of the magnetic field orientation in the central pillar in M16 (© the Astronomical Society of Japan; used with permission). North is to the top, East to the left, and the image width is $\approx 2^\prime$.5.

Figure 4: Ratio of volume-averaged parallel field $\langle |B_x| \rangle$ to perpendicular field $\langle \sqrt{B_y^2 + B_z^2} \rangle$ as a function of time in simulations R2, R5, and R8. Red line: average of all cells (R5); Black: average of only dense cells with $n_H > 5000$ cm$^{-3}$ (R5); Green: simulated with $|B|$ 3$x$ weaker (R2); Blue: with $|B|$ 3$x$ stronger (R8).
direction \( (\hat{x}) \) are plotted as a function of time for R2, R5, and R8. The evolution of dense gas with \( n_{\text{H}} > 5000 \text{ cm}^{-3} \) is shown for all three models, and for the full simulation volume only for R5 (R2 and R8 are very similar). It is seen that while the ratio does not evolve significantly when averaged over the full simulation volume, in dense gas the situation is very different. For R5 the parallel field increases rapidly during the implosion phase and there is a subsequent slower increase during the acceleration phase to roughly equal field strength in both components. This trend is stronger for the weak field model R2, whereas for the strong field model R8 the field orientation remains almost constant throughout the simulation. This difference between the weaker and stronger field simulations is larger than was found by Henney et al. (2009) and deserves further investigation.

4 Conclusions

The results presented here show that both radiation-driven implosion and acceleration of clumps by the rocket effect tend to align the magnetic field with the radiation propagation direction in dense neutral gas. As was suggested by Sugitani et al. (2007), the effectiveness of this alignment is dependent on the initial field strength. For simulations which have similar gas densities and pressures to conditions in M16, we have shown that a magnetic field of strength \( \sim 160 \mu \text{G} \) is sufficient to prevent any significant field reorientation. These results suggest that an ambient field of \( |B| < 160 \mu \text{G} \) (and more likely \( |B| \sim 50 \mu \text{G} \)) is required to explain the observed field configuration in the M16 pillars if the pillars formed via the mechanism we are modelling. Detailed R-HD models have recently been performed with dynamic initial conditions (Gritschneder et al. 2010) rather than the initially static models of ML10, allowing a potentially more realistic comparison with observations. Addition of magnetic fields to their simulations would be very useful to assess how significantly a non-uniform initial magnetic field will impact on the results presented here.
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